



TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO): SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 11/05/18 Surveyor: W. TAYLOR

Tree details
 TPO Ref: T2- 694 Tree/Group No: Species: OAK
 Location: 192 OAKLEY Rd - FRONT GARDEN
 The Southampton () Tree Preservation Order 201

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Fair | Suitable |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead | Unsuitable |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable |

* Relates to existing condition and is intended to apply to severe irremediable effects only.

Score & Notes
 (3) - Some old branch wounds with heartwood decay, but occluding well.

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

- | | |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10* | Unsuitable |

* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality.

Score & Notes
 (2)

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees. | Highly suitable |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only | Just suitable |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable |

- | |
|---------------------|
| Highly suitable |
| Suitable |
| Just suitable |
| Barely suitable |
| Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes
 (4)

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees |
| 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features |

Score & Notes
 (1)

= (9)

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.

- | |
|-------------------------------|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree |
| 1) Precautionary only. |

Score & Notes
~~(5)~~ (4) - OWNER has expressed desire to fell tree

= (10)

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-6 | TPO indefensible |
| 7-10 | Does not merit TPO |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible |
| 15+ | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total:
 14

Decision:
 TPO